



#32

UNIT / STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARK  
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST-NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
--------------------	-------------	-----------------------	---------------------

EXAMINER

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

32

DATE MAILED:

### INTERVIEW SUMMARY

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Prema Mehta (Primary Examiner) (3)

(2) Robert H. Underwood (Attorney) (4)

Date of Interview 6/20/03

Type:  Telephonic  Televideo Conference  Personal (copy is given to  applicant  applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted:  Yes  No If yes, brief description:

Agreement  was reached.  was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: 151 - 157, 163 - 169, 175 - 179, 185 - 188, 194 - 198, 204 - 207, 213, 217, 246 - 250, 253, 257 - 260, 263, 292, 296, 300, 303, and next claims 308 - 312.

Identification of prior art discussed: Horne et al (WO 94/11504), Saem et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 5,530,101)

and Chao et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 5,707,815)

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Underwood submitted arguments to overcome the 35 USC 103 rejections, arguing that the analogous regions in CCR1, CCR2 & CCR3 were in the transmembrane regions. Furthermore with respect to claims 246, 253, 257, 263, 300, 303, 341, 349, the coding strand cannot hybridize to with the SEQ ID N0:1 and the complement of SEQ ID N0:1.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to another form.

*Prema Mehta*

*6/20/03*